Hamiltonian formalism of the Landau-Lifschitz equation for a spin chain with full anisotropy
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Abstract

The Hamiltonian formalism of the Landau-Lifschitz equation for a spin chain with full anisotropy is formulated completely, which constructs a stable base for further investigations.

1 Introduction

The Landau-Lifschitz(L-L) equation for anisotropic spin chain is in the form of

\[ S_t = S \times S_{xx} + S \times JS, \quad |S| = 1 \]  (2.1)

in which \( J = \text{diag}(J_1, J_2, J_3) \), \( J_1 \leq J_2 \leq J_3 \), describing nonlinear spin waves propagating in a direction orthogonal to the anisotropic axis, and the suffices \( x \) and \( t \) denote the corresponding partial derivatives. Though spin is a quantum quantity satisfying quantum
bracket relation, but if one introduces Lie-Poisson bracket for classical spin, all the procedure of disposal is the same as the usual classical one[1]. The Lie-Poisson bracket is given by
\[
\{S_a(x), S_b(y)\} = -\epsilon_{abc}S_c(x)\delta(x - y) \tag{2.2}
\]
where \(\epsilon_{abc}\) is a fully anti-symmetric tensor, \(a, b, c = 1, 2, 3\). By using it we write eq.(2.1) in the form of the canonical equation
\[
\partial_t S_a(x) = \{S_a(x), H\} \tag{2.3}
\]
in which the Hamiltonian is
\[
H = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \mathcal{H}(x), \quad \mathcal{H}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_a \left[ (\partial_x S_a(x))^2 - J_a S_a(x)^2 \right] \tag{2.4}
\]

## 3 Jost solutions

The compatibility pair of the equation are a couple of \(2\times2\) matrices given by E.K.Sklyanin[3],
\[
L = \sum_a \omega_a(\lambda) S_a \sigma_a \tag{3.1}
\]
and
\[
M = \sum_{a,b,c} \omega_{ab}(\lambda) S_b S_c \sigma_a \epsilon_{abc} + i \sum_{a,b,c} \omega_b(\lambda) \omega_c(\lambda) S_a \sigma_a |\epsilon_{abc}| \tag{3.2}
\]
in which
\[
\omega_1(\lambda) = \rho \frac{1}{\text{sn}(\lambda, \kappa)}, \quad \omega_2(\lambda) = \rho \frac{\text{dn}(\lambda, \kappa)}{\text{sn}(\lambda, \kappa)}, \quad \omega_3(\lambda) = \rho \frac{\text{cn}(\lambda, \kappa)}{\text{sn}(\lambda, \kappa)} \tag{3.3}
\]
and \(\text{sn}(\lambda, \kappa), \text{dn}(\lambda, \kappa), \text{cn}(\lambda, \kappa)\), etc., are elliptic functions with modulus \(\kappa\):
\[
\kappa = \sqrt{J_2 - J_1}, \quad \rho = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{J_3 - J_1} \tag{3.4}
\]
Since the coefficients \(\omega_a(\lambda)\) are double-periodic functions of the parameter \(\lambda\):
\[
\omega_a(\lambda + 4mK + i4nK') = \omega_a(\lambda) \tag{3.5}
\]
where \(n, m\) are integers, \(K\) and \(K'\) are quarter-periods. It is sufficient to consider \(\lambda\) in the fundamental period parallelogram \(\Omega\):
\[
\Omega : |\text{Re}\lambda| < 2K, \quad |\text{Im}\lambda| < 2K' \tag{3.6}
\]
The first compatibility equation is now written as
\[
\partial_x F(x, \lambda) = L(x, \lambda)F(x, \lambda) \tag{3.7}
\]
In the limit of $|x| \to \pm \infty$, $S \to (0, 0, 1)$, and the asymptotic solution corresponding is $E(x, \lambda) = e^{-i\omega_3 x \sigma_3}$. The Jost solutions of eq.(11) are then defined by

$$
\Psi(x, \lambda) = (\tilde{\psi}(x, \lambda), \psi(x, \lambda)) \to e^{-i\omega_3 x \sigma_3}, \quad \text{as } x \to \infty
$$

$$
\Phi(x, \lambda) = (\phi(x, \lambda), \tilde{\phi}(x, \lambda)) \to e^{-i\omega_3 x \sigma_3}, \quad \text{as } x \to -\infty
$$

(3.8)

The monodromy matrix $T(\lambda)$ is given by

$$
\Phi(x, \lambda) = \Psi(x, \lambda) T(\lambda), \quad T(\lambda) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a(\lambda) & -\tilde{b}(\lambda) \\ b(\lambda) & a(\lambda) \end{array} \right)
$$

(3.9)

Furthermore, from the periodic properties of $sn(\lambda)$ and $sn(\bar{\lambda}) = sn(\lambda)$, etc., the compatibility pair (5) and (6) have the following reduction transformation properties:

$$
L(\lambda + 2K) = \sigma_3 L(\lambda) \sigma_3, \quad M(\lambda + 2K) = \sigma_3 M(\lambda) \sigma_3
$$

(3.10)

$$
\bar{L}(\bar{\lambda} + i2K') = \sigma_3 L(\lambda) \sigma_3, \quad \bar{M}(\bar{\lambda} + i2K') = \sigma_3 M(\lambda) \sigma_3
$$

(3.11)

4 Lie-Poisson bracket

From the first compatibility equation, it is found that

$$
\frac{\delta T(\lambda)}{\delta S_{a}(z)} = \Psi^{-1}(z, \lambda) (i\omega_a \sigma_a) \Phi(z, \lambda)
$$

(4.1)

and

$$
\frac{\delta T^{-1}(\lambda)}{\delta S_{b}(z)} = -\Phi^{-1}(z, \lambda) (i\omega_b \sigma_b) \Psi(z, \lambda)
$$

(4.2)

Defining

$$
\{T(\lambda) \otimes T^{-1}(\lambda')\}_{ik, jl} = \{T(\lambda)_{ij}, T^{-1}(\lambda')_{kl}\}
$$

(4.3)

the Lie-Poisson bracket of the monodromy matrix is now simply given in the form

$$
\{T(\lambda) \otimes T^{-1}(\lambda')\} = -\epsilon_{abc} \int dx \frac{\delta T(\lambda)}{\delta S_{a}(x)} \otimes \frac{\delta T^{-1}(\lambda')}{\delta S_{b}(x)} S_{c}(x)
$$

(4.4)

in which the symbol $\otimes$ in the right hand side is the usual direct product. After substituting eqs.(4.1) and (4.2), the explicit expression of eq.(19) is

$$
\{T(\lambda) \otimes T^{-1}(\lambda')\} = \int dx \Psi^{-1}(x, \lambda) \Phi^{-1}(x, \lambda') R \Phi(x, \lambda) \Psi(x, \lambda')
$$

(4.5)

where

$$
R = S_3 \{i\omega_1 \omega'_2 \sigma_1 \otimes i\sigma_2 - i\omega_2 \omega'_1 i\sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_1 \} + S_1 \{i\omega_2 \omega'_3 i\sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_3 - i\omega_3 \omega'_2 \sigma_3 \otimes i\sigma_2 \} + S_2 \{-\omega_3 \omega'_1 \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1 + \omega_1 \omega'_3 \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_3 \}
$$

(4.6)
The eq.(4.5) has a simple result if the integrand in the right hand side is a full derivative of some function with respect to $x$. In order to do so, we take into account of

$$\partial_x \left( \Psi^{-1}(x, \lambda) \sigma_0 \Psi(x, \lambda') \otimes' \Phi^{-1}(x, \lambda') \sigma_0 \Phi(x, \lambda) \right)$$

(4.7)

where $\sigma_0 = I$, and $\sigma_0$ is Pouli’s matrix for $a = 1, 2, 3$. Here another type of direct product is introduced

$$A_{im}B_{lj} = (A \otimes' B)_{il,jm}$$

(4.8)

Using eqs.(3.1) and (3.7), it is obvious that

$$\Psi^{-1}(x, \lambda)\Phi^{-1}(x, \lambda')W_\alpha \Phi(x, \lambda)\Psi(x, \lambda')$$

(4.9)

in which

$$W_0 = i(\omega_a - \omega'_a)S_a(\sigma_a \otimes' I - I \otimes' \sigma_a)$$

(4.10)

$$W_a = iS_b(\omega_b \sigma_a \sigma_a + \omega'_b \sigma_a \sigma_a \otimes' \sigma_a + iS_b \sigma_a \otimes' (\omega'_b \sigma_a + \omega_b \sigma_a \sigma_a)$$

(4.11)

In fact, eq.(4.5) can be expressed as a linear combination of terms in eq.(4.7), since $R$ in eq.(4.6) is expressed as a linear combination of $W_\alpha$ in eqs.(4.10) and (4.11):

$$R = f_0W_0 + f_3W_3 + f_1W_1 + f_2W_2$$

(4.12)

Writing $R$ and $W_\alpha$ in bigger matrices, e.g. $4 \times 4$–matrices, and comparing the corresponding matrix elements, a group of equations for $f_\alpha$ are given in the Appendix A.

Eq.(4.5) is then

$$\{T(\lambda) \otimes T^{-1}(\lambda')\} = \sum_\alpha f_\alpha \Delta_\alpha$$

(4.13)

where

$$\Delta_\alpha \equiv \Psi^{-1}(x, \lambda)\sigma_0 \Psi(x, \lambda') \otimes' \Phi^{-1}(x, \lambda') \sigma_0 \Phi(x, \lambda)\left|^{x=L}_{x=-L}\right.$$

(4.14)

5 Explicit expression of Lie-Poisson bracket

On the other hand, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^3 f_\alpha \Delta_\alpha = f_0(\Delta_0 + \Delta_3 + \Delta_1 + \Delta_2) + (f_3 - f_0)\Delta_3 + (f_1 - f_0)\Delta_1 + (f_2 - f_0)\Delta_2$$

(5.1)

Since $\{T(\lambda) \otimes T^{-1}(\lambda')\}$ is definite and only the differences $f_3 - f_0$, $f_1 - f_0$, $f_2 - f_0$ can be determined from eqs.(A.4)~(A.6), we should see that $\Delta_0 + \Delta_3 + \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 = 0$, which means that the value of $f_0$ is of no importance and may be assumed to be 0. Thus we have

$$\{T(\lambda) \otimes T^{-1}(\lambda')\} = f_3(\Delta(b) - \Delta(b_0)) + f_1(-\Delta(b) + \Delta(b_1)) + f_2(-\Delta(b) - \Delta(b_1))$$

(5.2)
In this restriction, \( \Delta(\omega) \) and \( \Delta(b_{0}) \) and \( \Delta(b_{1}) \) are given in the Appendix B.

Because of properties shown in (3.10), \( \lambda \) can be restricted in the region \( \Omega_{+} \):

\[
\Omega_{+} : \quad 0 < \Re \lambda < 2K, \quad 0 < \Im \lambda < 2K'
\]  

(5.4)

In this restriction, \( \Delta(b_{1}) \) has no contribution, and (5.2) reduces to

\[
\{T(\lambda)^{\circ}T^{-1}(\lambda')\} = f_{3}(\Delta(b) - \Delta(b_{0}))
\]  

(5.5)

where \( \omega_{j}, \omega'_{j} \) mean \( \omega_{j}(\lambda), \omega_{j}(\lambda') \).

From eq. (5.5), there are

\[
\{a(\lambda), b(\lambda')\} = \frac{\omega_{1}\omega'_{1}}{2} \omega_{3} - \omega'_{3} + i0 \frac{ab'}{\omega_{3} - \omega'_{3} + i0}
\]  

(5.6)

\[
\{a(\lambda), b(\lambda')\} = \frac{\omega_{1}\omega'_{1} + \omega_{2}\omega'_{2}}{2(\omega - \omega')} ab' - \frac{\omega_{1}\omega'_{1} + \omega_{2}\omega'_{2}}{2} i\pi \delta(\omega_{3} - \omega'_{3}) ab'
\]  

(5.7)

and then

\[
\{|a(\lambda)|^{2}, b(\lambda')\} = -i2\pi \delta(\omega_{3} - \omega'_{3}) \omega_{1}\omega_{2} |a(\lambda)|^{2} b' \]

(5.8)

Furthermore, in the restriction \( \Omega_{+} \), there are

\[
\delta(\omega_{3} - \omega'_{3}) = \frac{1}{d\omega_{3}(\lambda)} \delta(\lambda - \lambda')
\]  

(5.9)

as \( \lambda = \lambda' \), and

\[
\frac{\omega_{1}\omega'_{2} + \omega_{2}\omega'_{1}}{2} = \rho^{2} \frac{d\omega_{3}(\lambda)}{sn^{2}(\lambda)} = -\rho \frac{d\omega_{3}(\lambda)}{d\lambda}
\]  

(5.10)

As a result, eqs. (5.6), (5.7) and (5.8) become

\[
\{a(\lambda), b(\lambda')\} = \frac{\omega_{1}\omega'_{1} + \omega_{2}\omega'_{2}}{2(\omega - \omega')} a(\lambda)b(\lambda') + i\pi \rho \delta(\lambda - \lambda') a(\lambda)b(\lambda')
\]  

(5.11)

\[
\{a(\lambda), b(\lambda')\} = -\frac{\omega_{1}\omega'_{1} + \omega_{2}\omega'_{2}}{2(\omega - \omega')} a(\lambda)b(\lambda') + i\pi \rho \delta(\lambda - \lambda') a(\lambda)b(\lambda')
\]  

(5.12)

and

\[
\{|a(\lambda)|^{2}, b(\lambda')\} = i2\pi \rho \delta(\lambda - \lambda') |a(\lambda)|^{2} b(\lambda')
\]  

(5.13)
6 Action-angle variables in continuous spectrum

As known in inverse scattering transform, \( a(\lambda) \) and \( \tilde{a}(\lambda) \) are independent of \( t \), and the phase of \( b(\lambda) \) and \( \tilde{b}(\lambda) \) is a function of \( t \), which is determined by the asymptotic form of \( M \) in eq.(3.2). We have

\[
b(t, \lambda) = b(0, \lambda)e^{-i4\omega_1\omega_2 t}
\]

and then the angle variable is defined as

\[
Q(\lambda) = \arg b(\lambda) = \frac{1}{i} \ln b(\lambda)
\]

The action variable \( P(\lambda) \) is a function of \( a(\lambda) \) and \( \tilde{a}(\lambda) \), and usually assumed to be

\[
P(\lambda) = F(|a(\lambda)|^2)
\]

where \( F \) is an unknown function. As these two variables are canonical variables, it should be

\[
\{P(\lambda), Q(\lambda')\} = -\delta(\lambda - \lambda')
\]

By eq.(42), we find

\[
\{F(|a(\lambda)|^2), Q(\lambda')\} = F'(|a(\lambda)|^2)2\pi\rho\delta(\lambda - \lambda')|a(\lambda)|^2
\]

where \( F' \) is derivative of \( F \) with respect of its argument. Comparing it with eq.(46), we obtain

\[
F'(|a(\lambda)|^2)2\pi\rho|a(\lambda)|^2 = -1
\]

and thus

\[
P(\lambda) = F(|a(\lambda)|^2) = -\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \ln |a(\lambda)|^2
\]

Eq.(44) yields

\[
Q(\lambda, t) = Q(\lambda, 0) - 4\omega_1\omega_2
\]

Hence the Hamiltonian is

\[
H = \int_0^{2K} d\lambda 4\omega_1\omega_2 P(\lambda) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{2K} d\lambda \frac{\rho \ln(\lambda)}{sn^2(\lambda)} \ln |a(\lambda)|^2
\]

Therefore, the Hamiltonian has two kinds of expressions: one is an integral with respect to \( x \) in eq.(2.4), and the other is an integral with respect to the spectral parameter in eq.(6.9). Now it is necessary to derive a conservative quantity which has two integral forms compatible with the Hamiltonian.
7 Conservative quantities

In the inverse scattering transform, the conservative quantities are derived from the asymptotic form of the first compatibility equation. In the limit $|\lambda| \to 0$ or $|k| \to \infty$ ($k = \rho \lambda^{-1}$), by using the asymptotic expansion of elliptic functions, we have

$$L \to -i(k + k^{-1}r_a)S_a \sigma_a + \cdots$$

(7.1)

and

$$\{r_1, r_2, r_3\} = 4\rho^2 \frac{1}{\kappa} \{(1 + \kappa^2), (1 - 2\kappa^2), (-2 + \kappa^2)\}$$

(7.2)

From the first compatibility equation in this limit, writing

$$\tilde{\psi}_2(x, k) = e^{ikx+g}$$

(7.3)

and expanding

$$g_x = \eta_0 + (i2k)^{-1}\eta_1 + (i2k)^{-2}\eta_2 + ...$$

(7.4)

we obtain

$$\eta_0 = S_{3x} + \frac{(-iS_1 + S_2)_x}{-iS_1 + S_2} (1 - S_3)$$

(7.5)

and

$$-2\eta_1 = \eta_0 x + 2\eta_0^2 - \frac{(-iS_1 + S_2)_x}{-iS_1 + S_2} (\eta_0) + 2r_a S_a^2$$

(7.6)

e tc.[9]. In general, $\eta_0 \neq 0$. This situation appears also in the case of isotropic spin chain. In that case, an additional phase in the transmission coefficient $a(k)$ was introduced[7] to cancel the non-vanishing $\eta_0$. However, Takhtajan and Zakharov pointed out that this is unreasonable[8]. Any way, $\eta_1$ in eq.(7.6) does not give an expression compatible with the Hamiltonian in eq.(2.4).

It was formerly shown that the gauge equivalence between the isotropic spin chain and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, which means, by choosing a suitable gauge, the gauge-transformed compatibility pair of isotropic spin chain has the same form of that of NLS equation. Therefore, the conservative quantities for the isotropic spin chain can be derived from those for the NLS equation by revised gauge transformation and all results expected are naturally found[8].

After Takhtajan and Zakharov[8], it was tried to find some equations that are gauge-equivalent to the L-L equations for a spin chain with axial symmetry. However, such equations seem not existent. From a careful analysis of the gauge equivalence between the isotropic spin chain and the NLS equation, only the leading terms of the first one of compatibility pair are essentials, while other terms corresponding and the second one of compatibility pair are of no importance. That is, a gauge is chosen such that it turns the spin in the first order of spectral parameter of the first one of compatibility pair into the $3-$ axis in the spin space[9].
The explicit expression of the gauge $B$ was given (see Ref. [6]). After the gauge transformation, eq. (7.1) turns to

$$L' = -i(k + 2r\alpha S^2_\alpha)\sigma_3 + U + k^{-1}V + \cdots$$

(7.7)

where $U \equiv B_x B^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u \\ -\bar{u} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $V$ is an $2 \times 2$ matrix with vanishing diagonal elements.

Replacing $L$ by $L'$, the similar procedure for eqs. (7.5) and (7.6) yields

$$\eta'_0 = 0, \quad \eta'_1 = -|u|^2 + 2(r_1 S^2_1 + r_2 S^2_2 + r_3 S^2_3)$$

(7.8)

Noticing (3.4), there are

$$\{r_1, r_2, r_3\} = \frac{1}{6}\{J_3 + J_2 - 2J_1, J_3 - 2J_2 + J_1, -2J_3 + J_1 + J_2\} \sim -\frac{1}{2}\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$$

(7.9)

since common constant is immaterial [10]. As shown in the case of isotropic spin chain [8]

$$4|u|^2 = -S_{ax}S_{ax}$$

(7.10)

We finally obtain

$$\eta'_1 = \frac{1}{2}(S_{ax}S_{ax} - (J_1 S^2_1 + J_2 S^2_2 + J_3 S^2_3))$$

(7.11)

which is just the density of the Hamiltonian in eq. (4).

8 Expression of $a(\lambda)$

Eq. (7.8) indicates $a(k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, so that in this domain

$$\ln a(k) = \frac{1}{i\pi} \int dk' \frac{\ln|a(k')|^2}{k' - k}$$

(8.1)

We have

$$\ln a(k) = I_0 + I_1(i2k)^{-1} + \cdots$$

(8.2)

$$I_0 = 0, \quad I_1 = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int dk' \ln|a(k')|^2$$

(8.3)

In terms of $\lambda(k = 2\rho \lambda^{-1})$, eq. (8.1) is re-written in the domain of $\lambda \approx 0$

$$\ln a(\lambda) = \frac{1}{i\pi} \int d\lambda' \frac{\ln|a(\lambda')|^2}{(\lambda' - \lambda)} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda'}$$

(8.4)

so that

$$I_1 = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int d\lambda' \frac{\rho}{\lambda'^2} \ln|a(\lambda')|^2$$

(8.5)

It is equal to eq. (6.9) in this domain, since $dn(\lambda) \approx 1$ and $sn(\lambda) \approx \lambda^{-1}$. 
Since the general formula in this case is invariant with double-periodic transformation, eq.(8.4) may be rewritten as
\[
\ln(a_3(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{i\pi} \int_{\Omega_+} d\omega_3(\lambda') \frac{\ln|a_3(\lambda')|^2}{\omega_3(\lambda') - \omega_3(\lambda)}
\] (8.6)
where the integral domain is real axis in \(\Omega_+\) given in eq.(5.4). We obtain
\[
\ln(a_3(\lambda)) = I_0 - iI_1 \frac{\lambda}{2\rho} + \cdots
\] (8.7)
where \(I_0 = 0\) and
\[
I_1 = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\Omega_+} d\omega_3(\lambda') \ln|a_3(\lambda')|^2 = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\Omega_+} d\lambda \frac{d\omega_3}{d\lambda'} \ln|a_3(\lambda')|^2
\] (8.8)
It approaches to eq.(6.9) in the domain of \(|\lambda| \approx 0\).

9 Discrete spectrum

Eq.(7.8) should include the discrete part
\[
a_d(k) = \prod_j \frac{k - k_j}{k - k_j}
\] (9.1)
where \(k_j\) in the complex \(k\)--plane. It can be transformed into \(\lambda\)--plane, that is
\[
a_d(\lambda) = \prod_j \frac{\lambda - \lambda_j}{\lambda - \lambda_j} \quad \lambda_j
\] (9.2)
In general, we write
\[
a_d(\omega_3(\lambda)) = \prod_j \frac{\omega_3(\lambda) - \omega_3(\lambda_j)}{\omega_3(\lambda) - \omega_3(\lambda_j)}
\] (9.3)
In the limit of \(|\lambda| \approx 0\), it coincides with eq.(9.2) since \(\omega_3(\lambda) \approx \rho\lambda^{-1}\) in the limit of \(|\lambda| \rightarrow 0\).

Extending analytically into \(\Omega_+\), the left hand side of eq.(5.6) is
\[
\{\ln(a_3(\lambda)), b(\lambda_k)\} = \cdots + \sum_j \{(\ln(\omega_3(\lambda) - \omega_3(\lambda_j)) - \ln(\omega_3(\lambda) - \omega_3(\lambda_j))\}, b(\lambda_k)\}
\] (9.4)
where \(\lambda_k, \lambda_j \in \Omega_+\) and the right hand side is
\[
\frac{\omega_1(\lambda)\omega_2(\lambda_k) + \omega_1(\lambda_k)\omega_1(\lambda)}{2} \frac{1}{\omega_3(\lambda) - \omega_3(\lambda_k)} b(\lambda_k)
\] (9.5)
Eq.(9.5) has a pole at \(\omega_3(\lambda) = \omega_3(\lambda_k)\) so that
\[
\{\omega_3(\lambda_j), b(\lambda_k)\} = -\frac{\omega_1(\lambda_j)\omega_2(\lambda_k) + \omega_2(\lambda_k)\omega_1(\lambda_j)}{2} b(\lambda_k)\delta_{jk} = \rho \frac{d\omega_3}{d\lambda} \bigg|_{\lambda_j} b(\lambda_k)\delta_{jk}
\] (9.6)
as seen in eq.(5.10). Then eq.(9.6) gives
\[
\{\lambda_j, b(\lambda_k)\} = b(\lambda_k)\delta_{jk}
\] (9.7)
10 Action-angle variables in discrete spectrum

We introduce the action-angle variables in discrete spectrum

\[ P_j = F(\omega_3(\lambda_j)), \quad Q_j = \ln b(\omega_3(\lambda_j)) \] (10.1)

Then we find

\[ \{P_j, b(\lambda_k)\} = \frac{dF}{d\omega_3(\lambda_j)} \omega_3(\lambda_j), \quad b(\lambda_k) = \frac{dF}{d\omega_3(\lambda_j)} \frac{d\omega_3}{d\lambda_j} b(\lambda_k) \delta_{jk} \] (10.2)

namely,

\[ \frac{dF}{d\omega_3(\lambda_j)} \frac{d\omega_3}{d\lambda_j} = 1 \] (10.3)

and then

\[ P(\omega_3(\lambda_j)) = \lambda_j \] (10.4)

From eq.(9.3) the discrete part of Hamiltonian is

\[ H_d = i4\rho \sum_n \left( -\omega_3(\lambda_n) + \overline{\omega_3(\lambda_n)} \right) \] (10.5)

Here \( \lambda_n \) lies in \( \Omega_+ \), and the factor 4 stands for four zeros of \( a(\lambda) \) in \( \Omega \) for a single soliton case. We thus obtain

\[ \{H_d, b(\lambda_k)\} = i4\rho \frac{d\omega_3}{d\lambda_j} \{\lambda_j, b(\lambda_k)\} = -i4\omega_1 \omega_2 b(\lambda_k) \] (10.6)

which is just canonical equation for \( b(\lambda_k) \).

11 Concluding remarks

The Hamiltonian theory to the L-L equation for a spin chain with full anisotropy was examined by E.K. Sklyanin[3]. As mentioned, the conservative quantities derived by him are not compatible with the usual conservative quantities, such as the Hamiltonian, which affirmatively concludes that his procedure is unreasonable. Moreover, some other results may not be beyond doubt. For example, his eq.(2.15) written in the present notation is

\[ \{a(\lambda), b(\lambda')\} = -\omega_3(\lambda - \lambda' + i0)a(\lambda)b(\lambda') \] (11.1)

which is questionable, as comparing with eqs.(5.6) or (5.11).

Mikhailov and Rodin tried to solve the Landau-Lifschitz equation for a spin chain with full anisotropy based upon the compatibility pair given by Sklyanin. But explicit solutions were not given. In the isotropic spin case, the Jost solutions do not approach to the free Jost solutions as spectral parameter \(|k| \to \infty \). To construct the equations of the inverse scattering transform by Cauchy contour integral, Takhtajan introduced a redundant factor \( k^{-1} \) to ensure the integral having vanishing contribution of the integral along the big circle in complex \( k^{-1} \) plane when the radius reaches infinity. In the case of spin chain with full anisotropy, the behaviors of the Jost solutions do not approach to the free Jost solutions when \(|\lambda| \approx 0 \). Rodin and Mikhailov are unable to overcome this difficulty[5, 6]. But in order to solve the equation, it is necessary to propose a way to do so.
**Appendix**

**A**

To calculate $f_\alpha$ in 4.10, the terms involving $S_3$, $S_1$, $S_2$ in 4.10 are

\[
-(\omega_1\omega'_2\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 - \omega_2\omega'_1\sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_1) = (f_3 - f_0)i(\omega_3 - \omega'_3)(I \otimes' \sigma_3 - \sigma_3 \otimes' I) \\
+ (f_1 - f_2)i(\omega_1 + \omega'_1)(i\sigma_1 \otimes' \sigma_2 + i\sigma_2 \otimes' \sigma_1)
\]  

(A.1)

\[
-(\omega_2\omega'_2\sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_3 - \omega_3\omega'_2\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2) = (f_1 - f_0)i(\omega_1 - \omega'_1)(I \otimes' \sigma_1 - \sigma_1 \otimes' I) \\
+ (f_2 - f_3)i(\omega_1 + \omega'_1)(i\sigma_2 \otimes' \sigma_3 + i\sigma_3 \otimes' \sigma_2)
\]  

(A.2)

\[
-(\omega_3\omega'_3\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1 - \omega_1\omega'_3\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_3) = (f_2 - f_0)i(\omega_2 - \omega'_2)(I \otimes' \sigma_2 - \sigma_2 \otimes' I) \\
+ (f_3 - f_1)i(\omega_2 + \omega'_2)(i\sigma_3 \otimes' \sigma_1 + i\sigma_1 \otimes' \sigma_3)
\]  

(A.3)

among which one equation turns to another by simple circular permutation of 1, 2, 3. Noticing the direct products in two sides are different, and writing them in $4 \times 4$ matrix form, we obtain

\[
\omega_1\omega'_2 - \omega_2\omega'_1 = 2(f_1 - f_2)(\omega_3 + \omega'_3), \quad \omega_1\omega'_2 + \omega_2\omega'_1 = 2(f_3 - f_0)(\omega_3 - \omega'_3) \tag{A.4}
\]

\[
\omega_2\omega'_3 - \omega_3\omega'_2 = 2(f_2 - f_3)(\omega_1 + \omega'_1), \quad \omega_2\omega'_3 + \omega_3\omega'_2 = 2(f_1 - f_0)(\omega_1 - \omega'_1) \tag{A.5}
\]

\[
\omega_3\omega'_1 - \omega_1\omega'_3 = 2(f_3 - f_1)(\omega_2 + \omega'_2), \quad \omega_3\omega'_1 + \omega_1\omega'_3 = 2(f_2 - f_0)(\omega_2 - \omega'_2) \tag{A.6}
\]

**B**

Denoting

\[
A_\alpha(L) = \Psi^{-1}(L, \lambda)\sigma_\alpha\Psi(L, \lambda'), \quad A_\alpha(-L) = \Psi^{-1}(-L, \lambda)\sigma_\alpha\Psi(-L, \lambda') \tag{B.1}
\]

\[
C_\alpha(L) = \Phi^{-1}(L, \lambda')\sigma_\alpha\Phi(L, \lambda), \quad C_\alpha(-L) = \Phi^{-1}(-L, \lambda')\sigma_\alpha\Phi(-L, \lambda) \tag{B.2}
\]

We can see

\[
\Delta_0 \equiv A_0(L) \otimes' C_0(L) - A_0(-L) \otimes' C_0(-L) = \Delta(b) + \Delta(b_0) \tag{B.3}
\]

\[
\Delta_3 \equiv A_3(L) \otimes' C_3(L) - A_3(-L) \otimes' C_3(-L) = \Delta(b) - \Delta(b_0) \tag{B.4}
\]

\[
\Delta_1 \equiv A_1(L) \otimes' C_1(L) - A_1(-L) \otimes' C_1(-L) = -\Delta(b) + \Delta(b_1) \tag{B.5}
\]

\[
\Delta_2 \equiv A_2(L) \otimes' C_2(L) - A_2(-L) \otimes' C_2(-L) = -\Delta(b) - \Delta(b_1) \tag{B.6}
\]

where

\[
\Delta(b) \equiv \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -\bar{a}b' & -\bar{a}b & 0 \\
-b'a & 0 & 0 & \bar{b}a' \\
-b'a & 0 & 0 & \bar{b}a \\
0 & a'b & \bar{a}b' & 0
\end{pmatrix} \tag{B.7}
\]
and

\[
\Delta(b_1) \equiv \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \tilde{a}'b' e^{i2(\omega_3 + \omega'_3)L} & b'\tilde{a} e^{-i2(\omega_3 - \omega'_3)L} & 0 \\
\tilde{a}'b' e^{i2(\omega_3 + \omega'_3)L} & 0 & C_{12,21} & -a'\tilde{b} e^{-i2(\omega_3 - \omega'_3)L} \\
0 & -b'\tilde{a} e^{-i2(\omega_3 - \omega'_3)L} & -b\tilde{a} e^{-i2(\omega_3 - \omega'_3)L} & 0 \\
C_{22,22} & -a'\tilde{b} e^{-i2(\omega_3 + \omega'_3)L} & -a\tilde{a} e^{-i2(\omega_3 + \omega'_3)L} & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\] (B.10)

\[
C_{11,11} = \tilde{b}'b e^{-i2(\omega_3 - \omega'_3)L} - \tilde{b}b' e^{i2(\omega_3 - \omega'_3)L} \\
C_{12,21} = a'\tilde{a} e^{-i2(\omega_3 - \omega'_3)L} - a\tilde{a} e^{-i2(\omega_3 + \omega'_3)L} \\
C_{21,21} = a\tilde{a} e^{-i2(\omega_3 - \omega'_3)L} - a'\tilde{a} e^{-i2(\omega_3 + \omega'_3)L} \\
C_{22,22} = b'\tilde{b} e^{i2(\omega_3 - \omega'_3)L} - b\tilde{b} e^{i2(\omega_3 - \omega'_3)L}
\] (B.9)

Here we show the procedure for obtaining eq.(B.3) as an example. Firstly, there is

\[
A_0(L) \otimes' C_0(L) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & e^{i(\omega_3 - \omega'_3)L} \\
e^{-i(\omega_3 - \omega'_3)L} & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\otimes'
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{a}'a 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \tilde{a}'b 0 & 0 \\
0 & \tilde{b}'a 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \tilde{b}'b 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\] (B.12)

According to the definition of \( \otimes' \) in eq.(4.8), the terms involving vanishing exponent \( e^0 \) and the terms involving non-vanishing exponent \( e^{\pm i2(\omega_3 - \omega'_3)L} \) are collected separately,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{a}'a 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \tilde{a}'b 0 & 0 \\
0 & \tilde{b}'a 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \tilde{b}'b 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix} + 
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{a}'a 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \tilde{a}'b 0 & 0 \\
0 & \tilde{b}'a 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \tilde{b}'b 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\] (B.13)
Secondly,

\[
A_0(-L) \otimes' C_0(-L) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
    a\tilde{a}'e^{-i(\omega_3-\omega_3')L} + b\tilde{b}'e^{i(\omega_3-\omega_3')L} & a\tilde{b}'e^{-i(\omega_3-\omega_3')L} - b\tilde{a}'e^{i(\omega_3-\omega_3')L} & 0 \\
    b\tilde{a}'e^{-i(\omega_3-\omega_3')L} - a\tilde{b}'e^{i(\omega_3-\omega_3')L} & b\tilde{b}'e^{-i(\omega_3-\omega_3')L} + a\tilde{a}'e^{i(\omega_3-\omega_3')L} & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & e^{i(\omega_3'-\omega_3)L}
\end{array} \right)
\]  

(B.14)

is equal to

\[
\left( \begin{array}{ccc}
    a\tilde{a}' & \tilde{a}' & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & \tilde{b}' \\
    b\tilde{a}' & \tilde{b}' & 0 \\
\end{array} \right) + 
\left( \begin{array}{ccc}
    0 & 0 & 0 \\
    -\tilde{a}' & 0 & \tilde{a}' \\
    0 & -\tilde{b}' & 0 \\
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
    0 & 0 & 0 \\
    -\tilde{a}'e^{i2(\omega_3-\omega_3')L} & 0 & a\tilde{a}'e^{-i2(\omega_3-\omega_3')L} \\
    0 & 0 & b\tilde{a}'e^{-i2(\omega_3-\omega_3')L} \\
\end{array} \right)
\]  

(B.15)

Finally, combining (B.13) and (B.15), we have shown eq. (B.3).
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